

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,317	07/14/2003	Stephen J. Plzak	353851-102	7284
25561	7590 07/06/2004		EXAM	INER
JOHN W. RYAN		CHIN, PAUL T		
C/O DECHE	RT LLP NPIKE CORPORATION	CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 52			3652	
PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5218		DATE MAILED: 07/06/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/619,317	PLZAK, STEPHEN	1 J. V
		Examiner	Art Unit	, 40. 40.
		PAUL T. CHIN	3652	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the total period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a i y within the statutory minimum of thir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timel ITHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 June	<u>ıly 2003</u> .		
, —	•—	action is non-final.		
· ·	Since this application is in condition for allowa			e merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Applicati	on Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 14 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2015.	☐ accepted or b)☒ object drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document according to the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A rity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)

Application/Control Number:	Page 2
10/619,317	 
Art Unit: 3652	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to because it appears in figure 1 that the reference number "182" should be changed to -- 782 --. Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Specification

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the

Application/Control Number:	Page 3
10/619,317	
Art Unit: 3652	

printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Plzak (6,295,700). Plzak (6,295,700) discloses a carrier system or a buckle comprising a releasable and adjustable fastening mechanism (700) and a serpentine article (750), a strap, in the mechanism wherein a loop of strap (see Fig. 8B) is being formed and the strap being in two directions (650,652) from the loop, and a cam (Fig. 8B) that engage the strap, and a first end of the strap is fixedly attached to a first end of an attachment and a second end of the strap is threaded for the buckle (see Fig. 8B).
- 6. Claims 1,2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hoody (2,592,696).

Application/Control Number:	Page 4
10/619,317	•
Art Unit: 3652	

Hoody (2,592,696) discloses a carrier system comprising a releasable and adjustable fastening mechanism (14) and a serpentine article (12) or a strap, in the mechanism wherein a loop of strap (see Fig. 1) is being formed and the strap being in two directions (6,10). (the same directions; upper and lower directions) from the loop.

7. Claims 1,2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Prete, Jr. (3,678,542).

Prete, Jr. (3,678,542) discloses a carrier system or a buckle comprising a releasable and adjustable fastening mechanism (11) and a serpentine article (13) or a strap, in the mechanism wherein a loop of strap (see Fig. 1) is being formed and the strap being in two directions (the same directions; upper and lower directions) from the loop.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Plzak (6,295,700).

Plzak (6,295,700), as presented in section 5 above, does not show the structural dimensional limitations and it would have been obvious design choice to provide the

Application/Control Number:	Page 5
10/619,317	
Art Unit: 3652	

desired structural dimension on the Plzak's buckle (6,295,700) to provide a user's preference.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL T. CHIN whose telephone number is (703) 305-1524. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THURS (7:30 -6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, EILEEN LILLIS can be reached on (703) 308-3248. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PAUL T. CHIN Examiner

Art Unit 3652